

Attachment 1

Part A:

Complete Part A.

Use the following to indicate quantitatively how the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) or the Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP) is consistent with the goals established in your Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) or the Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan (ITSP). If any of the performance measures in Part A do not reflect the goals contained in an RTP/ITSP or if an RTIP/ITIP does not contain goals that are measurable by the performance measures contained within, simply state “not applicable (na)” for each indicator or each performance measure (where appropriate).

Performance Indicators and Measures						
Indicator	Relation to STIP Section 19 Performance Criteria	Performance Measures			Current System Performance (Baseline)	Projected Impact of Projects
		Mode	Level*	Measures		
Safety	2	Roadway	Region	Fatalities / Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)		
	2			Fatal Collisions / VMT		
	2			Injury Collisions / VMT		
	2	Transit	Mode	Fatalities / Passenger Miles		
Mobility	1	Roadway	Region	Passenger Hours of Delay / Year		
	1			Average Peak Period Travel Time		
	1			Average Non-Peak Period Travel Time		
Accessibility	4 (also 1,3,6,7)	Transit	Region	Percentage of population within 1/4 mile of a rail station or bus route.		
Reliability	1	Roadway	Corridor	Travel Time Variability		
	5	Transit	Mode	Percentage of vehicles that arrive at their scheduled destination no more than 5 minutes late.		
Productivity (Throughput)	7	Roadway Vehicles	Corridor	Average Peak Period Vehicle Trips		
	7			Average Daily Vehicle Trips		
	7	Roadway People	Corridor	Average Peak Period Vehicle Trips Multiplied by the Occupancy Rate		
	7			Average Daily Vehicle Trips Multiplied by the Occupancy Rate		
	7	Trucks	Corridor	Percentage of Average Daily Vehicle Trips that are (5+ axle) Trucks		
	7			Average Daily Vehicle Trips that are (5+ axle) Trucks		
	7	Transit	Mode	Passengers per Vehicle Revenue Hour		
	7			Passengers per Vehicle Revenue Mile		
	7			Passenger Mile per Train Mile (Intercity Rail)		
System Preservation	3	Roadway	Region	Total number of Distressed Lane Miles		
	3			Percentage of Distressed Lane Miles		
	3			Percentage of Roadway at Given IRI Levels		
Return on Investment/ Lifecycle Cost	1-7					

*Level:
Corridor - Routes or route segments that are identified by regions and Caltrans as being significant to the transportation system.
Region - Region or county commission that is responsible for RTIP submittal.
Mode - One of the following transit types (light rail, heavy rail, commuter rail, trolley bus, and all forms of bus transit).

Part B:

If Part A alone is insufficient in indicating how progress towards attaining goals and objectives contained in each RTP and the ITSP is assessed and measured, complete Part B.

Include the following information:

- List your performance measures.
- Provide a quantitative and/or qualitative analysis (include baseline measurement and projected program or project impact).
- State the reason(s) why selected performance measure or measures are accurate and useful in measuring performance. Please be specific.
- Identify any and all deficiencies encountered in as much detail as possible.

Provide a quantitative evaluation and/or qualitative explanation of how the goals and objectives contained in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) or the Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan (ITSP) are linked to the program of projects contained in the RTIP and the ITIP.

For qualitative explanations, state how progress towards attaining goals and objectives contained in each RTP and the ITSP is assessed and measured. If performance indicators and/or performance measures used by an agency are different from those outlined in Table A of the Guidelines and as provided in Attachment 1, describe the method(s) used.

If the quality or quantity of data required to demonstrate the linkage between an RTIP/ITIP and the associated RTP/ITSP quantitatively is in question, describe the quality and quantity of data that are available, being sure to highlight those instances where data are not available. Where data are unavailable, please describe data deficiencies in as much detail as possible.

Part C:

For new projects for which construction of a large new facility or a substantial expansion of an existing facility is proposed and over 50% of a county's target for new programming as identified in the fund estimate is applied or is over \$50 million in total project costs, a project level evaluation is preferable.

If a project-level evaluation is conducted, Table A should be used for reference.